Infinity Machines: Will Quantum Computers Live up to the Hype?

Shelby Kimmel – Computer Science Paul Hess – Physics



IT PROMISES TO SOLVE SOME OF HUMANITY'S MOST COMPLEX PROBLEMS. IT'S BACKED BY JEFF BEZOS, NASA AND THE CIA. EACH ONE COSTS \$10,000,000 AND OPERATES AT 459° BELOW ZERO. AND NOBODY KNOWS HOW IT ACTUALLY WORKS

French Advances / My Doctor Fired Me / Love App-tually





time.com

FEBRUARY 17, 2014









Is the hype real?

- Why are people so excited about quantum computing?
- Are they infinity machines?
- Are quantum computers imminent?



The first transistor (replica) 1947









Moore's Law: exponential increase in transistors per chip



Intel

Today

10 nm:

- Human hair is several thousand times thicker
- 20 atoms across



10 nm:

- Human hair is several thousand times thicker
- 20 atoms across

When you get down to the size of atoms, quantum effects appear.

10 nm:

- Human hair is several thousand times thicker
- 20 atoms across

When you get down to the size of atoms, quantum effects appear.

It's not a bug; it's a feature!

Using quantum effects, can solve some problems *much* faster

Using quantum effects, can solve some problems *much* faster



Break public key cryptosystems

Using quantum effects, can solve some problems *much* faster



Break public key cryptosystems



Find new chemical processes

Is the hype real?

- Why are people so excited about quantum computing?
- Are they infinity machines?
- Are quantum computers imminent?

Using quantum effects, can solve some problems *much* faster



Break public key cryptosystems



Find new chemical processes



Metaphor for quantum computer

 Quantum computation is like interaction of waves, islands, and shore



Metaphor for quantum computer

- Quantum computation is like interaction of waves, islands, and shore
- I can control islands and shoreline



Metaphor for quantum computer

- Quantum computation is like interaction of waves, islands, and shore
- I can control islands and shoreline
- Output of computation is location of a large wave





Quantum computers seem really helpful for some problems but not others.

WHY?

- Superposition
- Interference

• Superposition – "can be in many states at once"



 Superposition: waves explore many paths through the environment, hit all the points on the shore



What gives quantum computers their power? Why is this power helpful for some problems, but not helpful for others?

- Superposition "can be in many states at once"
- Interference

• Interference





 Interference: waves travel from different paths. If in sync when get to shore, get big wave, if out of of sync, get no wave.



What gives quantum computers their power? Why is this power helpful for some problems, but not helpful for others?

- Superposition "can be in many states at once"
- Interference "cancel the bad, enforce the good"

1.0

• Some problems have structure that helps build up interference fast:



• Some problems have structure that helps build up interference fast:



• Some problems have structure that helps build up interference fast:



Quantum computers can find the period of a function exponentially faster than regular computers

Used to break cryptosystems

• Other problems have very little structure, need more time to build up interference

Parity: Even or odd number of 1's

011010101110 (seven $1's \rightarrow \text{odd parity}$) 011010001110 (six $1's \rightarrow \text{even parity}$)

• Other problems have very little structure, need more time to build up interference



• Other problems have very little structure, need more time to build up interference



st - connectivity:
is there a path from s to t?



st - connectivity:
is there a path from s to t?



st - connectivity:
is there a path from s to t?

Applications:

- Finding relatives
- Navigation



st - connectivity:
is there a path from s to t?

What types of graphs have structure that make this problem easy to solve for quantum computers?





More current flow (smaller effective resistance) \rightarrow easier for quantum computer to solve





Less charge build-up (smaller effective capacitance) \rightarrow easier for quantum computer to solve

More current flow (smaller effective resistance) \rightarrow easier for quantum computer to solve

Is the hype real?

- Why are people so excited about quantum computing?
- Are they infinity machines?
- Are quantum computers imminent?

Why Moore's Law?



The vacuum tube (1940s-1950s)



The first transistor (replica) 1947



Modern CPU Chip Over 4 billion transistors (AMD Ryzen 7)

Connecting wires How do we go quantum: State I Superposition

Step I: Make or isolate a "quantum bit" (qubit)

State 0



Step 3: Put lots of connected qubits together

Contains 20 qubits

Step 2: Wire them together to generate interference



IBM Cloud Interface

Step 4: Run cleverly designed quantum algorithms

Photos: IBM Research

Limitations: Cutting though the hype

Loss of Memory (Noise)

Why IBM's qubits must be refrigerated to -459° F



Lack of Precise Control

Qubit must be "revived" correctly 99.9% of the time

The more "9s", the better

Still searching for our transistor?





Levitated Atomic Ions

Printed Circuit Qubit The IBM and Google approach

Both technologies have benefits and drawbacks

Is there another way to make progress on interesting problems using the limited machines we have now?

Analog Computing

Making the most with limited resources



Antikythera Mechanism for astronomical predictions (2nd Century B.C.E.)

PACE 16-31R – Electronic Analog Computer for spacecraft trajectory calculations NASA (1950s – 1970s)



Tide-Predicting Machine No. 2 U.S. Coast Guard, (1912 – 1965)



Photo: NASA

A quantum simulator of magnetism

The physics we'd like to simulate



A chain of interacting quantum bar magnets

- Seems simple, but its behaviors are complex
- Practical applications:
 - Encodes "optimization problems"

Why go analog?

- Less fine control required
- Errors and noise have less effect

10 trapped ion quantum simulator

Simulate one quantum system with another

Application: Detecting a phase transition



Application: Detecting a phase transition

Q: At what magnetic field does the magnet "melt"?

mean of largest domain size 18 Start with this magnetized state 16 14 End with this partially demagnetized state ******** 12 0.5 00 1.0 1.5 20 Measure largest domain size Applied magnetic field strength Phase transition

20

With 53 ions, this calculation is beyond the ability of "classical computers"

Is the hype real?

• Why are people so excited about quantum computing?

Solve some useful problems really fast.

• Are quantum computers imminent?

Quantum analog simulators – Yes. Universal quantum computers – Probably not soon.

• Are they infinity machines?

We'll see...



<u>Theory collaborators:</u> Stacey Jeffery, Michael Jarret, Alvaro Piedrafita

Experimental Acknowledgements



