## Phase Retrieval Using Unitary 2-Designs

#### **Shelby Kimmel**<sup>1,2</sup> and Yi-Kai Liu<sup>1,3</sup>

- 1. Joint Center for Quantum Info and Computer Science (QuICS), University of Maryland
- 2. Middlebury College
- 3. National Institute of Standars and Technology (NIST)



SAMPTA 04/07/2017

## **Familiar Problem: Phase Retrieval**

#### **Phase Retrieval:**

Learn unknown signal  $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , given noisy quadratic measurements:

$$y_i = |a_i^* x|^2 + \epsilon_i$$

Where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^d$  are chosen by observer,  $\epsilon_i$  are unknown noise, using as few measurement settings as possible.

#### **Variant: Phase Retrieval using Unitaries**

#### **Phase Retrieval:**

Learn unknown signal  $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , given noisy quadratic measurements:

$$y_i = |a_i^* x|^2 + \epsilon_i$$

Where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^d$  are chosen by observer,  $\epsilon_i$  are unknown noise, using as few measurement settings as possible.

#### **Phase Retrieval using Unitaries:**

Learn unknown unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ , given noisy quadratic measurements:  $y_i = |Tr(C_i^*U)|^2 + \epsilon_i$ 

Where  $C_i \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  are unitary matrices chosen by observer,  $\epsilon_i$  are unknown noise, using as few measurement settings as possible.

#### **Variant: Phase Retrieval using Unitaries**

#### **Phase Retrieval:**

Learn unknown signal  $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , given noisy quadratic measurements:

$$y_i = |a_i^* x|^2 + \epsilon_i$$

Where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^d$  are chosen by observer,  $\epsilon_i$  are unknown noise, using as few measurement settings as possible.

#### **Phase Retrieval using Unitaries:**

Learn unknown unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ , given noisy quadratic measurements:  $y_i = |Tr(C_i^*U)|^2 + \epsilon_i$ 

Where  $C_i \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  are unitary matrices chosen by observer,  $\epsilon_i$  are unknown noise, using as few measurement settings as possible.

N.B.:

- $|Tr(C_i^*U)|^2 = |\operatorname{vec}(C_i)^*\operatorname{vec}(U)|^2$
- $C_i^*$  is conjugate transpose of  $C_i$

#### Why Phase Retrieval Using Unitaries?

- Unitaries are basic building blocks of a quantum computer
- Physical implementations often not correct need to find errors.

#### How Phase Retrieval Using Unitaries?

Measurement Schemes to obtain  $y_i = |Tr(C_i^*U)|^2 + \epsilon_i$ 



- Difficult to prepare entangled state and measure in entangled basis
- Can't characterize unitaries acting on full system.

#### How Phase Retrieval Using Unitaries?

Measurement Schemes to obtain  $y_i = |Tr(C_i^*U)|^2 + \epsilon_i$ 

2. Randomized Benchmarking



- Good: inherently protected from SPAM errors, no entanglement needed
- Bad: C<sub>i</sub> must be a Clifford Unitary.

Phase retrieval possible when  $C_i$  chosen from Cliffords?

How does our choice of  $C_i$  affect our ability to learn U?

How does our choice of  $C_i$  affect our ability to learn U?

I. Phase retrieval of all unitary matrices, when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 4-design

2. Phase retrieval works pretty well when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 2-design.

How does our choice of  $C_i$  affect our ability to learn U?

I. Phase retrieval of all unitary matrices, when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 4-design

Cliffords form a unitary 3-design! [Zhu; Webb; Kueng and Gross, 2015]

2. Phase retrieval works pretty well when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 2-design.

How does our choice of  $C_i$  affect our ability to learn U?



- I. Phase retrieval of all unitary matrices, when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 4-design
  - Use PhaseLift algorithm
  - Matrix analog of vector phase retrieval result using vector 4-designs [Kueng, Rauhut, and Terstiege, 2014]
- 2. Phase retrieval works pretty well when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 2-design.

- I. Phase retrieval of all unitary matrices, when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 4-design
  - Use PhaseLift algorithm
  - Matrix analog of vector phase retrieval result using vector 4-designs [Kueng, Rauhut, and Terstiege, 2014]
- 2. Phase retrieval works pretty well when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 2-design.
  - Note: no-go result for PhaseLift using vector 2-designs [Gross et al, 2013]
  - PhaseLift is approximately correct, for most unitaries

- PhaseLift: Lifts vector to matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space
- Our case: lifts matrix to larger matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space

 $U \to vec(U)vec(U)^* \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}$ 

- PhaseLift: Lifts vector to matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space
- Our case: lifts matrix to larger matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space

 $U \to vec(U)vec(U)^* \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}$ 

• Follow strategy similar to [Keung et al. 2014] for state 4-designs.

- PhaseLift: Lifts vector to matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space
- Our case: lifts matrix to larger matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space

 $U \to vec(U)vec(U)^* \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}$ 

- Follow strategy similar to [Keung et al. 2014] for state 4-designs.
- Key component: bounding expectation of 4<sup>th</sup> power of certain term
  For unitary 4-design, can bound using properties of 4<sup>th</sup> moment of Haar random unitaries (using Weingarten functions and commutative diagrams)



- PhaseLift: Lifts vector to matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space
- Our case: lifts matrix to larger matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space

 $U \to vec(U)vec(U)^* \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}$ 

- Follow strategy similar to [Keung et al. 2014] for state 4-designs.
- Key component: bounding expectation of 4<sup>th</sup> power of certain term
  For unitary 4-design, can bound using properties of 4<sup>th</sup> moment of Haar random unitaries (using Weingarten functions and commutative diagrams)

- PhaseLift: Lifts vector to matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space
- Our case: lifts matrix to larger matrix, solve convex optimization problem on larger space

 $U \to vec(U)vec(U)^* \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}$ 

- Follow strategy similar to [Keung et al. 2014] for state 4-designs.
- Key component: bounding expectation of 4<sup>th</sup> power of certain term
  ✓ For unitary 4-design, can bound using properties of 4<sup>th</sup> moment of Haar random unitaries
  - For unitary 2-design, can bound using properties of 2<sup>nd</sup> moment of Haar random unitaries, AND non-spikiness condition.

Non-spikiness condition:

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a finite set of unitary matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ . We say that a unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  is *non-spiky* with respect to  $\tilde{G}$  (with parameter  $\beta \geq 0$ ) if the following holds:

$$|\operatorname{tr}(C^{\dagger}U)|^2 \le \beta, \quad \forall C \in \tilde{G}.$$
 (I.13)

Fact: Almost all unitary matrices are non-spiky when  $\beta \sim \log |\tilde{G}|!$ 

## What about Cliffords?

I. Phase retrieval of all unitary matrices, when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 4-design

Cliffords form a unitary 3-design! [Zhu; Webb; Kueng and Gross, 2015]

2. Phase retrieval works pretty well when  $C_i$  chosen from a unitary 2-design.

### What about Cliffords?



# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Phase retrieval of unitary matrices
  - Motivation: quantum gate tomography
  - Used variant of PhaseLift algorithm
- Exact recovery using unitary 4-designs, approximate recovery using unitary 2-designs. See arXiv/1510.08887
- Outlook:
  - What about 3-designs? What about Cliffords in particular?
  - Different algorithm, e.g. Wirtinger Flow?

## **PhaseLift for Unitary Matrices**

- Measurements  $y = \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{vec}(U)\operatorname{vec}(U)^{\dagger}) + \varepsilon$ - Where
  - $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}_{\text{Herm}} \to \mathbb{R}^m \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \left[ \text{vec}(\sqrt{d}C_i)^{\dagger} \Gamma \text{vec}(\sqrt{d}C_i) \right]_{i=1}^m$
- Convex program  $\arg \min_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2 \times d^2}_{\text{Herm}}} \operatorname{tr}(\Gamma) \text{ such that}$   $\|\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) - y\|_2 \leq \eta,$   $\Gamma \succeq 0,$   $\operatorname{tr}_1(\Gamma) = (I/d) \operatorname{tr}(\Gamma),$   $\operatorname{tr}_2(\Gamma) = (I/d) \operatorname{tr}(\Gamma).$

## **PhaseLift Using Unitary 4-Designs**

• "Exact" recovery guarantee:

Suppose that the number of measurements satisfies

$$m \ge \left(64(4!)^2 c_0\right)^2 \cdot d^2 \ln d.$$
 (I.11)

Then with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-2m(4(4!))^{-4})$  (over the choice of the  $C_i$ ), we have the following uniform recovery guarantee:

For any unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ , it is the case that any solution  $\Gamma_{opt}$  to the convex program (I.7) with noisy measurements (I.6) must satisfy:

$$\|\Gamma_{opt} - vec(U)vec(U)^{\dagger}\|_F \le \frac{128(4!)^2\eta}{\sqrt{m}} \left(1 + \frac{2c_5}{c_0 - 2c_5}\right).$$
 (I.12)

## PhaseLift Using Unitary 2-Designs

 We will seek to recover all unitary matrices U that are "<u>non-spiky</u>" with respect to the measurement matrices C<sub>i</sub>

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a finite set of unitary matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ . We say that a unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  is *non-spiky* with respect to  $\tilde{G}$  (with parameter  $\beta \geq 0$ ) if the following holds:

$$|\operatorname{tr}(C^{\dagger}U)|^2 \le \beta, \quad \forall C \in \tilde{G}.$$
 (I.13)

• Fact: Almost all unitary matrices are nonspiky, with parameter  $\beta \sim \log |\tilde{G}|$ 

# PhaseLift Using Unitary 2-Designs

- For all β-non-spiky unitary matrices U, we achieve "approximate" recovery, up to error  $\delta$ 
  - Let  $v = \beta/\delta$

Suppose that the number of measurements satisfies

$$m \ge \left(8c_0\nu^2\right)^2 \cdot d^2 \ln d. \tag{I.16}$$

Then with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{128}m\nu^{-4})$  (over the choice of the  $C_i$ ), we have the following uniform recovery guarantee:

For any unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  that is non-spiky with respect to  $\tilde{G}$  (with parameter  $\beta$ , in the sense of (1.13)),

 $\|\Gamma_{opt} - vec(U)vec(U)^{\dagger}\|_{F}$  $\leq \max \left\{ \delta \| \operatorname{vec}(U) \operatorname{vec}(U)^{\dagger} \|_{F}, \ \frac{16\eta \nu^{2}}{\sqrt{m}} \left( 1 + \frac{2c_{5}}{c_{0} - 2c_{5}} \right) \right\}.$  (I.17)