Oracles with Costs

Shelby Kimmel, Cedric Yen-Yu Lin, Han-Hsuan Lin

Joint Center for Quantum Information and Computer Science, University of Maryland

Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT

TQC 2015, May 22, 2015

Arxiv: 1502.02174



JOINT CENTER FOR QUANTUM INFORMATION AND COMPUTER SCIENCE



Computer Studies

Searching for an Isomorphic Graph

Is this target graph:

Isomorphic to any of these test graphs?





Searching for an Isomorphic Graph

Is this target graph:

Isomorphic to any of these test graphs?





Searching for an Isomorphic Graph

Is this target graph:

Isomorphic to any of these test graphs?





Outline

- Oracles and Oracle with Costs
- Related work
- Simple Example: Search with Two Oracles
- Open Problems

Standard Oracle Model

<u>Goal</u>: Evaluate a function f(x) for Boolean input $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, given an oracle for x.



Want to minimize total uses of oracle (queries) **<u>Goal</u>:** Evaluate f(x, y) for Boolean inputs $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, and $y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$ given a set of oracles for x and y



<u>Goal</u>: Evaluate f(x, y) for Boolean inputs $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, and $y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$ given a set of oracles for x and y



Want to minimize total cost $q_x c_x + q_y c_y$, where q_i is the # of queries to Oracle i

<u>Goal</u>: Evaluate f(x, y) for Boolean inputs $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, and $y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$ given a set of oracles for x and y



Want to minimize total cost $q_x c_x + q_y c_y,$ where q_i is the # of queries to Oracle i



- 3 Cases:
- Classical
- Oracles not allowed in superposition
- Oracles in superposition

Utility of Multiple Oracles Model

• We often have extra information (black box is not a good description).

Graph Isomorphism



- In the real world, oracles take time to implement
- Can apply oracle tool box: algorithms, lower bounding techniques, etc

Utility of Multiple Oracles Model

• We often have extra information (black box is not a good description).

Graph Isomorphism



- In the real world, oracles take time to implement
- Can apply oracle tool box: algorithms, lower bounding techniques, etc

Related Work

- Ambainis '10: One oracle, querying different *i* requires different times
 - E.g. To query x_1 takes time 1, but to query x_2 takes time 2
- Montanaro '09: Searching with additional information. E.g. Told that $x_1=1$ is more likely than $x_2=1$
- Cerf et al. '00: Use multiple tests to speed up evaluation of satisfiability problems.
 - No cost, No lower bounds, Need certain structure.









Can ask \bigstar Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item starred?" with cost c_{\star} Can ask () Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item striped?" with cost c_{\parallel}

 $C_{\star} > C_{\parallel}$

Promised: The starred item is also striped





Can ask \bigstar Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item starred?" with cost c_{\star} Can ask () Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item striped?" with cost c_{\parallel}

Promised: The starred item is also striped

Classical = $\theta(\min\{c_{\star}N, c_{\parallel}N + c_{\star}M\})$ Quantum = $\theta(\min\{c_{\star}\sqrt{N}, c_{\parallel}\sqrt{N} + c_{\star}\sqrt{M}\})$



C₊>C₁

Perform Grover search using **Cracle**

Can ask \bigstar Oracle, "Is the *i*th item starred?" with cost c_{\star} Can ask () Oracle, "Is the *i*th item striped?" with cost c_{\parallel}

C_{*}>C|

Promised: The starred item is also striped



Can ask \bigstar Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item starred?" with cost c_{\star} Can ask () Oracle, "Is the i^{th} item striped?" with cost c_{\parallel}

Promised: The starred item is also striped

Classical = $\theta(\min\{c_*N, c_{\parallel}N + c_*M\})$ Quantum = $\theta(\min\{c_*\sqrt{N}, c_{\parallel}\sqrt{N} + c_*\sqrt{M}\})$



<u>C+>C</u>|

Observations:

- Bounds are same whether oracles used in superposition or not.
- Amplitude amplification is optimal

Lower Bounds for Search with Two Oracles

Almost any technique works to give asymptotically tight lower bound:

- Reduction to search
- Adversary method
- Variable times lower bounding method of Ambainis.

Lower Bounds for Search with Two Oracles

Almost any technique works to give asymptotically tight lower bound:

- Reduction to search
- Adversary method
- Variable times lower bounding method of Ambainis.

Is it possible to prove exact optimality?

- Grover's algorithm is exactly optimal.[Zalka '99]
- We use amplitude amplification, a variant of Grover's algorithm.

Exact Bounds for Search with Two Oracles

Special case:

• Start in equal superposition state:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}|i\rangle$$

• Can only apply oracles and G: reflection about equal superposition state



Exact Bounds for Search with Two Oracles

Label position of state of system at any point – in the algorithm using (shifted) polar coordinates: θ, ϕ



$$H(\theta,\phi) = \theta - k(N, M, \boldsymbol{c}_*, \boldsymbol{c}_{\parallel}) \times \min_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\phi + 2l\pi - \pi/2|$$

Initially, progress function is close 0, at end should be close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

- *G* does not change progress function
- Oracles can increase progress function

Exact Bounds for Search with Two Oracles



Algorithm that succeeds with probability at least
$$1 - \epsilon$$
:*
Cost $\geq c_{\parallel}\sqrt{N} \arcsin(\sqrt{1-\epsilon}) \sec(\phi_0 + \sqrt{M/N})/2$
Where $\phi_0 = \max \begin{cases} 0\\ \phi: \tan(\phi + \sqrt{M/N}) = \phi + c_*/c_{\parallel}\sqrt{M/N} \end{cases}$

Same as optimal amplitude amplification algorithm!

* In the limit of
$$C(c_{\parallel}, c_*, M, N) = \frac{c_{\parallel}\sqrt{N}}{c_*\sqrt{\epsilon} 2Mcos(\phi_0 + \sqrt{M/N})} \to 0$$

Directions for Future Work

- Create exactly tight bounds for searching with two oracles?
- Prove asymptotic optimality for analogous problem with log *N* multiply nested oracles?
- Create a general framework for understanding oracles with costs, like the general adversary bound?
- Are there other problems (besides search) where introducing additional oracles makes sense?



